

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,128	12/30/2000	Yosef Freedlan	JST-15	1786
24039	7590 01/09/2004		EXAMI	NER
INNOVAR, LLC P O BOX 250647			ODLAND, KATHRYN P	
PLANO, TX 75025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3743 DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Cl0/9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/753,128	FREEDLAN, YOSEF
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn Odland	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whoeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amount of the ned statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	i because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>64,66-71,73,74,79,81-89,94,96</u>	-98,100-104,109,111,113,115-119 a	nd 134-137.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-63, 68		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the information that was deemed new matter in the office action dated September 9, 2003 is found in WO 01/49189. Even if the subject matter is found in the Wo 01/49189 essential subject matter cannot be incorporated from a foreign reference. Section 608.01(p) of the M.P.E.P. state that essential information can only be incorporated from a US patent or patent application. Only non-essential subject matter that is to describe the state of the art can be incorporated from foreign documents. Further, the material incorporated in reference would need to also apply to the species elected.

The section of the M.P.E.P. is stated below:

608.01(p) Completeness

Newly filed applications obviously failing to disclose an invention with the clarity required

are discussed in MPEP § 702.01. A disclosure in an application, to be complete, must contain such description and details as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention pertains to make and use the invention as of its filing date. In re Glass, 492 F.2d 1228, 181 USPQ 31 (CCPA 1974). While the prior art setting may be mentioned in general terms, the essential novelty, the essence of the invention, must be described in such details, including proportions and techniques, where necessary, as to enable those persons skilled in the art to make and utilize the invention. Specific operative embodiments or examples of the invention must be set forth. Examples and description should be of sufficient scope as to justify the scope of the claims.....

I. INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

The Commissioner has considerable discretion in determining what may or may not be incorporated by reference in a patent application. General Electric Co. v. Brenner, 407 F.2d 1258, 159 USPQ 335 (D.C. Cir. 1968). The incorporation by reference practice with respect to applications which issue as U.S. patents provides the public with a patent disclosure which minimizes the public's burden to search for and obtain copies of documents incorporated by reference which may not be readily available. Through the Office's incorporation by reference policy, the Office ensures that reasonably complete disclosures are published as U.S. patents. The following is the manner in which the Commissioner has elected to exercise that discretion. Section A provides the guidance for incorporation by reference in applications which are to issue as U.S. patents. Section B provides guidance for incorporation by reference in benefit applications; i.e., those domestic (35 U.S.C. 120) or foreign (35 U.S.C. 119(a)) applications relied on to establish an earlier effective filing date. A. Review of Applications Which Are To Issue as Patents. An application as filed must be complete in itself in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112. Material nevertheless may be incorporated by reference, Ex parte Schwarze, 151 USPQ 426 (Bd. App. 1966). An application for a patent when filed may incorporate "essential material" by reference to (1) a U.S. patent, (2) a U.S. patent application publication, or (3) a pending U.S. application, subject to the conditions set forth below. "Essential material" is defined as that which is necessary to (1) describe the claimed invention, (2) provide an enabling disclosure of the claimed invention, or (3) describe the best mode (35 U.S.C. 112). In any application which is to issue as a U.S. patent, essential material may not be incorporated by reference to (1) patents or applications published by foreign countries or a regional patent office, (2) non-patent publications, (3) a U.S. patent or application which itself incorporates "essential material" by reference, or (4) a foreign application. Nonessential subject matter may be incorporated by reference to (1) patents or applications published by the United States or foreign countries or regional patent offices. (2) prior filed, commonly owned U.S. applications, or (3) non-patent publications however, hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser executable code cannot be incorporated by reference. See MPEP § 608.01. Nonessential subject matter is subject matter referred to for purposes of indicating the background of the invention or illustrating the state of the art. Mere reference to another application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re de Seversky, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973). In addition to other requirements for an application, the referencing application should include an identification of the referenced patent, application, or publication. Particular attention should be directed to specific portions of the referenced document where the subject matter being incorporated may be found. Guidelines for situations where applicant is permitted to fill in a number for Application No. left blank in the application as filed can be found in In re Fouche, 439 F.2d 1237, 169 USPQ 429 (CCPA 1971) (Abandoned applications less than 20 years old can be incorporated by reference to the same extent as copending applications; both types are open to the public upon the referencing application issuing as a patent. See MPEP § 103).

1. Complete Disclosure Filed

If an application is filed with a complete disclosure, essential material may be canceled by amendment and may be substituted by reference to a U.S. patent or an earlier filed pending U.S. application. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration signed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material canceled from the application is the same material that has been incorporated by reference. If an application as filed incorporates essential material by reference to a U.S. patent or a pending and commonly owned U.S. application, applicant may be required prior to examination to furnish the Office with a copy of the referenced material together with an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the copy consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. However, if a copy of a printed U.S. patent is furnished, no affidavit or declaration is required. Prior to allowance of an application that incorporates essential material by reference to a pending U.S. application, the examiner shall determine if the referenced application has been published or issued as a patent, the examiner shall enter the U.S. Patent Application Publication No. or the U.S. Patent No. of the referenced application in the specification of the referencing application (see MPEP § 1302.04). If the referenced application has not been published or issued as a patent, applicant will be required to amend the disclosure of the referencing application to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application.

2. Improper Incorporation

The filing date of any application wherein essential material is improperly incorporated by reference to a foreign application or patent or

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

to a publication will not be affected because of the reference. In such a case, the applicant will be required to amend the specification to include the material incorporated by reference. The following form paragraphs may be used.

6.19 Incorporation by Reference, Foreign Patent or Application

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973).

Further, applicant states on page 17 of the Amendment After Final that claims 65, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 90-93, 95, 99, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 112, 114 and 120-133 were rejected. These claims were not rejected. They were not examined since they were drawn to a patentably distinct species. A restriction was mailed March 7, 2003 that resulted an election. The above mentioned claims were not drawn to the species elected, and thus, were not examined.

Further, Applicant's arugements regarding the rejection based upon Seegmiller et al. have been considered but are not considered persuasive. Applicant has failed to include strucural features/ limitations and/or claim language to define over the prior art of record. Thus, the rejection is maintained.

He Bennett

Supervisory Patent Examiner